## Solutions To Problems of Chapter 2

2.1. Derive the mean and variance for the binomial distribution.

Solution: For the mean value we have that,

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}] = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{kn!}{(n-k)!k!} p^{k} (1-p)^{n-k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{n!}{(n-k)!(k-1)!} p^{k} (1-p)^{n-k}$$

$$= np \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(n-1)!}{((n-1)-(k-1))!(k-1)!} p^{k-1} (1-p)^{(n-1)-(k-1)}$$

$$= np \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \frac{(n-1)!}{((n-1)-l)!l!} p^{l} (1-p)^{(n-1)-l}$$

$$= np(p+1-p)^{n-1} = np. \tag{1}$$

where the formula for the binomial expansion has been employed. For the variance we have,

$$\sigma_x^2 = \sum_{k=0}^n (k - np)^2 \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n k^2 \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} +$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (np)^2 \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} -$$

$$2np \sum_{k=0}^n k \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}, \qquad (2)$$

or

$$\sigma_x^2 = \sum_{k=0}^n k^2 \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} + (np)^2 - 2(np)^2,$$
 (3)

However,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} k^{2} \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!} p^{k} (1-p)^{n-k} =$$

$$np \sum_{k=1}^{n} k \frac{(n-1)!}{((n-1)-(k-1))!(k-1)!} p^{k-1} (1-p)^{(n-1)-(k-1)} =$$

$$np \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (l+1) \frac{(n-1)!}{((n-1)-l)!l!} p^{l} (1-p)^{(n-1)-l} =$$

$$np + np(n-1)p,$$

$$(4)$$

which finally proves the result.

2.2. Derive the mean and the variance for the uniform distribution.

Solution: For the mean we have

$$\mu = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}] = \int_a^b \frac{1}{b-a} x dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{b-a} \frac{b}{2} \Big|_a^b = \frac{b+a}{2}. \tag{5}$$

For the variance, we have

$$\sigma_x^2 = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b (x-\mu)^2 dx = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a-\mu}^{b-\mu} y^2 dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{b-a} \frac{y^3}{3} \Big|_{a-\mu}^{b-\mu}$$

$$= \frac{1}{12} (b-a)^2.$$
(6)

2.3. Derive the mean and covariance matrix of the multivariate Gaussian.

Solution: Without harming generality, we assume that  $\mu = 0$ , in order to simplify the discussion. We have that

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{l/2}|\Sigma|^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \boldsymbol{x} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{x}^T \Sigma^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}\right) d\boldsymbol{x},\tag{7}$$

which due to the symmetry of the exponential results in  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}] = \mathbf{0}$ .

For the covariance we have that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}\right) d\boldsymbol{x} = (2\pi)^{l/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{1/2}.$$
 (8)

Following similar arguments as for the univariate case given in the text, we are going to take the derivative on both sides with respect to matrix  $\Sigma$ . Recall from linear algebra the following formulas.

$$\frac{\partial \mathrm{trace}\{AX^{-1}B\}}{\partial X} = -(X^{-1}BAX^{-1})^T, \quad \frac{\partial |X^k|}{\partial X} = k|X^k|X^{-T}.$$

Hence, taking the derivatives of both sides in (8) with respect to  $\Sigma$  we obtain,

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}\right) \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\right)^T d\boldsymbol{x} = \frac{1}{2} (2\pi)^{l/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-T},$$
(9)

which then readily gives the result.

2.4. Show that the mean and variance of the beta distribution with parameters a and b are given by

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}] = \frac{a}{a+b},$$

and

$$\sigma_x^2 = \frac{ab}{(a+b)^2(a+b+1)}.$$

Hint: Use the property  $\Gamma(a+1) = a\Gamma(a)$ .

Solution: We know that

$$Beta(x|a,b) = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} x^{a-1} (1-x)^{b-1}.$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}] = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \int_0^1 xx^{a-1} (1-x)^{b-1} dx = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \frac{\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+1+b)},$$

which, using the property  $\Gamma(a+1) = a\Gamma(a)$ , results in

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}] = \frac{a}{a+b}.\tag{10}$$

For the variance we have

$$\mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{x} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}])^2] = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \int_0^1 \left(x - \frac{a}{a+b}\right)^2 x^{a-1} (1-x)^{b-1} dx, \quad (11)$$

or

$$\sigma_x^2 = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \int_0^1 x^{a+1} (1-x)^{b-1} dx + \frac{a^2}{(a+b)^2} \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \int_0^1 x^{a-1} (1-x)^{b-1} dx - 2\frac{a}{a+b} \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \int_0^1 x^a (1-x)^{b-1} dx,$$
 (12)

4

and following a similar path as the one adopted for the mean, it is a matter of simple algebra to show that

$$\sigma_x^2 = \frac{ab}{(a+b)^2(a+b+1)}.$$

2.5. Show that the normalizing constant in the beta distribution with parameters a, b is given by

$$\frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}$$

Solution: The beta distribution is given by

Beta
$$(x|a,b) = Cx^{a-1}(1-x)^{b-1}, \ 0 \le x \le 1.$$
 (13)

Hence

$$C^{-1} = \int_0^1 x^{a-1} (1-x)^{b-1} dx. \tag{14}$$

Let

$$x = \sin^2 \theta \Rightarrow dx = 2\sin\theta\cos\theta d\theta. \tag{15}$$

Hence

$$C^{-1} = 2 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\sin \theta)^{2a-1} (\cos \theta)^{2b-1} d\theta.$$
 (16)

Recall the definition of the gamma function

$$\Gamma(a) = \int_0^\infty x^{a-1} e^{-x} dx,$$

and set

$$x = y^2 \Rightarrow dx = 2ydy,$$

hence

$$\Gamma(a) = 2 \int_0^\infty y^{2a-1} e^{-y^2} dy.$$
 (17)

Thus

$$\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b) = 4 \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty x^{2a-1} y^{2b-1} e^{-(x^2+y^2)} dx dy.$$
 (18)

Let

$$x = r \sin \theta, y = r \cos \theta \Rightarrow dxdy = rdrd\theta.$$

Hence

$$\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b) = 4 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_0^{\infty} r^{2(a+b)-1} e^{-r^2} (\sin \theta)^{2a-1} (\cos \theta)^{2a-1} dr d\theta.$$
 (19)

where integration over  $\theta$  is in the interval  $\left[0,\frac{\pi}{2}\right]$  to guarantee that x remains non-negative. From (19) we have

$$\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b) = \left(2\int_0^\infty r^{2(a+b)-1}e^{-r^2}dr\right)\left(2\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\sin\theta)^{2a-1}(\cos\theta)^{2b-1}d\theta\right)$$
$$= \Gamma(a+b)C^{-1},$$

which proves the claim.

2.6. Show that the mean and variance of the gamma pdf

Gamma
$$(x|a,b) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} x^{a-1} e^{-bx}, \ a,b,x > 0.$$

are given by

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}] = \frac{a}{b},$$
$$\sigma_x^2 = \frac{a}{b^2}.$$

Solution: We have that

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}] = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty x^a e^{-bx} dx.$$

Set bx = y. Then

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}] &= \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \frac{1}{b^{a+1}} \int_0^\infty y^a e^{-y} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{b\Gamma(a)} \Gamma(a+1) = \frac{a\Gamma(a)}{b\Gamma(a)} = \frac{a}{b}. \end{split}$$

For the variance, the following is valid

$$\sigma_x^2 = \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{x} - \frac{a}{b})^2] = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \Big\{ \int_0^\infty x^{a+1} e^{-bx} dx + \frac{a^2}{b^2} \int_0^\infty x^{a-1} e^{-bx} dx - 2\frac{a}{b} \int_0^\infty x^a e^{-bx} dx \Big\},$$

and following a similar path as before we obtain

$$\sigma_x^2 = \frac{a}{h^2}.$$

2.7. Show that the mean and variance of a Dirichlet pdf with K variables,  $x_k, k = 1, 2, ..., K$  and parameters  $a_k, k = 1, 2, ..., K$ , are given by

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_k] = \frac{a_k}{\overline{a}}, \ k = 1, 2, \dots, K$$

$$\sigma_k^2 = \frac{a_k(\overline{a} - a_k)}{\overline{a}^2(1 + \overline{a})}, \ k = 1, 2, \dots, K,$$

$$\operatorname{cov}[\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j] = -\frac{a_i a_j}{\overline{a}^2(1 + \overline{a})}, \ i \neq j,$$

6

where 
$$\overline{a} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} a_k$$
.

Solution: Without harm of generality, we will derive the mean for  $x_K$ . The others are derived similarly. To this end, we have

$$p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{K-1}) = C \prod_{k=1}^{K-1} x_k^{a_k - 1} \left( 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} x_k \right)^{a_K - 1}$$

where

$$C = \frac{\Gamma(a_1 + a_2 + \ldots + a_K)}{\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(a_2)\ldots\Gamma(a_K)}.$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_{K}] = C \int_{0}^{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1} \left[ \int_{0}^{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} x_{k}} x_{K} p(x_{1}, \dots, x_{K-1}, x_{K}) dx_{K} \right] dx_{K-1} \dots dx_{1}$$

$$= C \int_{0}^{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1} \left[ \int_{0}^{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} x_{k}} x_{K} \prod_{k=1}^{K-1} x_{k}^{a_{k}-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} x_{k} \right)^{a_{K}-1} dx_{K} \right] dx_{K-1} \dots dx_{1}$$

$$= C \int_{0}^{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1} \prod_{k=1}^{K-1} x_{k}^{a_{k}-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} x_{k} \right)^{a_{K}} \left[ \int_{0}^{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} x_{k}} dx_{K} \right] dx_{K-1} \dots dx_{1},$$

or

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_K] = C \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \prod_{k=1}^{K-1} x_k^{a_k - 1} \left( 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} x_k \right)^{a_K} dx_{K-1} \dots dx_1$$

$$= C \frac{\Gamma(a_1) \dots \Gamma(a_K + 1)}{\Gamma(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_K + 1)}$$

$$= C \frac{a_K \Gamma(a_1) \dots \Gamma(a_K)}{(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_K) \Gamma(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_K)}$$

$$= \frac{a_K}{a}.$$

In the sequel, we will show that

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j] = -\frac{a_i a_j}{\overline{a}^2 (\overline{a} + 1)}, \ i \neq j.$$

We derive it for the variables  $x_K$  and  $x_{K-1}$ , since any of the variables can

be taken in place of  $x_K$  and  $x_{K-1}$ . Hence,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_{K-1}\mathbf{x}_{K}] &= C \int_{0}^{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1} \left[ \int_{0}^{1-\sum_{k=1}^{K-1} x_{k}} \left( \prod_{k=1}^{K-2} x_{k}^{a_{k}-1} \right) x_{K-1}^{a_{K-1}} x_{K}^{a_{K}} dx_{K} \right] dx_{K-1} \dots dx_{1} \\ &= C \int_{0}^{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1} \prod_{k=1}^{K-2} x_{k}^{a_{k}-1} x_{K-1}^{a_{K-1}} \left[ \int_{0}^{1-\sum_{k=1}^{K-1} x_{k}} x_{K}^{a_{K}} dx_{K} \right] dx_{K-1} \dots dx_{1} \\ &= \frac{C}{a_{K}+1} \int_{0}^{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1} \prod_{k=1}^{K-2} x_{k}^{a_{k}-1} x_{K-1}^{a_{K-1}} \left( 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} x_{k} \right)^{a_{K}+1} dx_{K-1} \dots dx_{1} \\ &= \frac{C}{a_{K}+1} \frac{\Gamma(a_{1}) \dots \Gamma(a_{K-2}) \Gamma(a_{K-1}+1) \Gamma(a_{K}+2)}{\Gamma(a_{1}+\dots+a_{K-2}+a_{K-1}+a_{K}+2)} \\ &= \frac{C}{a_{K}+1} \frac{a_{K} a_{K-1} \Gamma(a_{1}) \dots \Gamma(a_{K}) (a_{K}+1)}{(1+a_{1}+\dots+a_{K}) (a_{1}+\dots+a_{K}) \Gamma(a_{1}+\dots+a_{K})} \end{split}$$

or

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_{K-1}\mathbf{x}_K] = \frac{a_K a_{K-1}}{\overline{a}(1+\overline{a})}.$$

Thus in general,

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j] = \frac{a_i a_j}{\overline{a}(1+\overline{a})}.$$

For the covariance, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}[\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{x}_i - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_i] \right] \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{x}_j - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_j] \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j] - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_i] \, \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_j], \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{cov}[\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j] &= \frac{a_i a_j}{\overline{a}(1+\overline{a})} - \frac{a_i a_j}{\overline{a}^2} \\ &= \frac{a_i a_j \overline{a} - a_i a_j (1+\overline{a})}{\overline{a}^2 (1+\overline{a})} = - \frac{a_i a_j}{\overline{a}^2 (1+\overline{a})}. \end{aligned}$$

2.8. Show that the sample mean, using N i.i.d drawn samples, is an unbiased estimator with variance that tends to zero asymptotically, as  $N \longrightarrow \infty$ .

Solution: From the definition of the sample mean we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\mu}_N] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_n] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}]. \tag{20}$$

For the variance we have,

$$\sigma_{\hat{\mu}_{N}}^{2} = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}x_{i} - \mu\right)\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}x_{j} - \mu\right)\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{N^{2}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}(x_{i} - \mu)\sum_{j=1}^{N}(x_{j} - \mu)\right)\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{N^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\mathbb{E}\left[(x_{i} - \mu)(x_{j} - \mu)\right].$$
(21)

However, since the samples are i.i.d. drawn, the expected value of the product is equal to the product of the mean values, hence it is zero except for i = j, which then results in

$$\sigma_{\hat{\mu}_N}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sigma_x^2,$$

which proves the claim.

2.9. Show that for WSS processes

$$r(0) \ge |r(k)|, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and that for jointly WSS processes,

$$r_u(0)r_v(0) \ge |r_{uv}(k)|^2, \ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Solution: Both properties are shown in a similar way. So, we are going to focus on the first one. Consider the obvious inequality,

$$\mathbb{E}[|\mathbf{u}_n - \lambda \mathbf{u}_{n-k}|^2] \ge 0,$$

or

$$\mathbb{E}[|\mathbf{u}_n|^2] + |\lambda|^2 \, \mathbb{E}[|\mathbf{u}_{n-k}|^2] \geq \lambda^* r(k) + \lambda r^*(k),$$

or

$$r(0) + |\lambda|^2 r(0) \ge \lambda^* r(k) + \lambda r^*(k).$$

This is true for any  $\lambda$ , thus it will be true for  $\lambda = \frac{r(k)}{r(0)}$ . Substituting, we obtain

$$r(0) \ge \frac{|r(k)|^2}{r(0)},$$

which proves the claim.

Similar steps are adopted in order to prove the property for the cross-correlation.

$$r_d(k) = r_u(k) * w_k * w_{-k}^*.$$

Solution: We have that

$$r_{d}(k) = \mathbb{E}[d_{n}d_{n-k}^{*}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i} w_{i}^{*}u_{n-i} \sum_{j} w_{j}u_{n-k-j}^{*}\right]$$

$$= \sum_{i} \sum_{j} w_{i}^{*}w_{j} \mathbb{E}[u_{n-i}u_{n-k-j}^{*}]$$

$$= \sum_{j} w_{j} \sum_{i} w_{i}^{*}r_{u}(k+j-i).$$
(23)

Set

$$h(n) := w_n * r_u(n). \tag{24}$$

Then we can write,

$$r_d(k) = \sum_j w_j h(k+j) = \sum_j w_j h(-((-k)-j)) = w_{-k}^* * h(-(-k))$$
  
=  $w_{-k}^* * w_k * r_u(k)$ ,

which proves the claim.

2.11. Show that

$$\ln x \le x - 1.$$

Solution: Define the function

$$f(x) = x - 1 - \ln x.$$

then

$$f'(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{x}$$
, and  $f''(x) = \frac{1}{x^2}$ .

Thus x = 1 is a minimum, i.e.,

$$f(x) \ge f(1) = 1 - 1 - 0 = 0.$$

or

$$\ln x < x - 1.$$

2.12. Show that

$$I(x; y) \ge 0$$
.

Hint: Use the inequality of Problem 2.11.

Solution: By the respective definition, we have that

$$-I(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y}) = -\sum_{x} \sum_{y} P(x, y) \log \frac{P(x|y)}{P(x)}$$
$$= \log e \sum_{x} \sum_{y} P(x, y) \ln \frac{P(x)}{P(x|y)},$$

where we have used only terms where  $P(x,y) \neq 0$ . Taking into account the inequality, we have that

$$-I(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y}) \leq \log e \sum_{x} \sum_{y} P(x, y) \left\{ \frac{P(x)}{P(x|y)} - 1 \right\} = \log e \sum_{x} \sum_{y} \left\{ P(x)P(y) - P(x, y) \right\}.$$

Note that the summation over the terms in the brackets is equal to zero, which proves the claim.

Note that if the random variables are independent, then P(x) = P(x|y) and I(x; y) = 0.

2.13. Show that if  $a_i, b_i, i = 1, 2, ..., M$  are positive numbers, such as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i = 1$$
, and  $\sum_{i=1}^{M} b_i \le 1$ ,

then

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i \ln a_i \le -\sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i \ln b_i.$$

Solution: Recalling the inequality from Problem 2.11, that

$$\ln \frac{b_i}{a_i} \le \frac{b_i}{a_i} - 1,$$

or

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i \ln \frac{b_i}{a_i} \le \sum_{i=1}^{M} (b_i - a_i) \le 0,$$

which proves the claim and where the assumptions concerning  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  have been taken into account.

2.14. Show that the maximum value of the entropy of a random variable occurs if all possible outcomes are equiprobable.

11

Solution: Let  $p_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., M, be the corresponding probabilities of the M possible events. According to the inequality in Problem 2.13 for  $b_i = 1/M$ , we have,

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{M} p_i \ln p_i \le \sum_{i=1}^{M} p_i \ln M,$$

or

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{M} p_i \ln p_i \le \ln M.$$

Thus the maximum value of the entropy is  $\ln M$ , which is achieved if all probabilities are equal to 1/M.

2.15. Show that from all the pdfs which describe a random variable in an interval [a, b] the uniform one maximizes the entropy.

Solution: The Lagrangian of the constrained optimization task is

$$L(p(\cdot),\lambda) = -\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p(x) \ln p(x) dx + \lambda \Big( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p(x) dx - 1 \Big).$$

According to the calculus of variations (for the unfamiliar reader, treat p(x) as a variable and take derivatives under the integrals as usual) we take the derivative and set it equal to zero, resulting in

$$\ln p(x) = \lambda - 1.$$

Plugging it in the constrain equation, and performing the integration results in

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{b-a},$$

which proves the claim.