

Abstract Algebra (3rd Edition)

Chapter 7.6, Problem 8E

Bookmark

Show all steps:

ON

Step-by-step solution

Step 1 of 16

Consider B be a nonempty partially ordered set. and Suppose $\{A_i\}$ be a collection of abelian groups. Let us suppose that I is directed; For all $i, j \in I$, there exists $k \in I$ with $i, j \leq k$. Consider that for every pair of indices $i, j \in I$ with $i \leq j$, there is a map $\rho_{i,j} : A_i \longrightarrow A_j$ such that the following hold: (1) $\rho_{i,k} \circ \rho_{i,j} = \rho_{i,k}$ whenever $i \leq j \leq k$ and (2) $\rho_{i,i} = 1$ for all $i \in I$.

Suppose $B = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \times \{i\}$ be the disjoint union of the A_i . Define a relation σ on B as follows:

Comment

Step 2 of 16

$(a,i)\sigma(b,j)$ if and only if there exists $k \in I$ such that $i, j \leq k$ and $\rho_{i,k}(a) = \rho_{j,k}(b)$.

Comment

Step 3 of 16

(a) Show that σ is an equivalence relation on B . We define $\lim_{\rightarrow} A_i = B/\sigma$.

To show that σ is equivalence, we need to verify that it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

1. (σ is reflexive) Consider $(a,i) \in B$. Note that $i \leq i$, and that

$$\rho_{i,i}(a) = a$$
$$= \rho_{i,i}(a)$$

Thus $(a,i)\sigma(a,i)$, and hence σ is reflexive.

2. (σ is symmetric) Consider $(a,i)\sigma(b,j)$. Then there exists $k \geq i, j$ such that $\rho_{i,k}(a) = \rho_{j,k}(b)$. Certainly $\rho_{j,k}(b) = \rho_{i,k}(a)$, so that $(b,j)\sigma(a,i)$. Hence σ is symmetric.

3. (σ is transitive) Suppose $(a,i)\sigma(b,j)$ and $(b,j)\sigma(c,k)$. Then there exist $l \geq i, j$ such that $\rho_{i,l}(a) = \rho_{j,l}(b)$ and $m \geq j, k$ such that $\rho_{j,m}(b) = \rho_{k,m}(c)$. Since I is a directed poset, there exists $t \in I$ such that $t \geq l, m$. Now

$$\rho_{i,t}(a) = \rho_{i,l}(\rho_{l,t}(a))$$
$$= \rho_{i,l}(\rho_{j,l}(b))$$
$$= \rho_{i,l}(b)$$
$$= \rho_{i,m}(\rho_{j,m}(b))$$
$$\rho_{i,t}(a) = \rho_{i,m}(\rho_{j,m}(c))$$
$$= \rho_{i,t}(c)$$

Thus $(a,i)\sigma(c,k)$, and hence σ is transitive. Hence σ is an equivalence relation.

Comment

Step 4 of 16

(b) Consider $[x]_{\sigma}$ denote the class of x in $\lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$ and define $\rho_i : A_i \rightarrow \lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$ by $\rho_i(a) = [(a,i)]_{\sigma}$. Show that if each $\rho_{i,j}$ is injective, then ρ_i is also injective for all i .

Suppose that the $\rho_{i,j}$ are all injective. Choose $i \in I$, and Consider $a, b \in A_i$ such that $\rho_i(a) = \rho_i(b)$. Then $[(a,i)]_{\sigma} = [(b,i)]_{\sigma}$. For some $k \geq i$, we have $\rho_{i,k}(a) = \rho_{i,k}(b)$. Since $\rho_{i,k}$ is injective, $a = b$. Hence ρ_i is injective.

Comment

Step 5 of 16

(c) Assume that the $\rho_{i,j}$ are all group homomorphism. For $[(a,i)]_{\sigma}, [(b,j)]_{\sigma} \in \lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$, show that the operation $[(a,i)]_{\sigma} + [(b,j)]_{\sigma} = [(\rho_{i,k}(a) + \rho_{j,k}(b), k)]_{\sigma}$, where k is any upper bound of i and j , is well defined and makes $\lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$ an abelian group. Deduce that the ρ_i are group homomorphism.

Consider that the $\rho_{i,j}$ are all group homomorphism. First we show that $+$ is well defined.

Suppose $[(a,i_1)]_{\sigma} = [(a_1, i_1)]_{\sigma}$ and $[(b, j_1)]_{\sigma} = [(b_1, j_1)]_{\sigma}$. Then there exists $s \geq i_1, i_2$ such that $\rho_{i_1,s}(a_1) = \rho_{i_2,s}(a_2)$ and $r \geq j_1, j_2$ such that $\rho_{j_1,r}(b_1) = \rho_{j_2,r}(b_2)$. Now choose arbitrary $k_1 \geq i, j_1$ and $k_2 \geq i_2, j_2$. Again choose $t \geq k_1, k_2, r, s$. See the following:

$$\rho_{i_1,t}(\rho_{i_1,s}(a_1) + \rho_{j_1,s}(b_1)) = \rho_{i_1,t}(\rho_{i_1,s}(a_1)) + \rho_{i_1,t}(\rho_{j_1,s}(b_1))$$
$$= \rho_{i_1,t}(a_1) + \rho_{i_1,t}(b_1)$$
$$= \rho_{i_2,t}(\rho_{i_2,s}(a_1)) + \rho_{j_2,t}(\rho_{j_2,s}(b_1))$$
$$= \rho_{i_2,t}(a_2) + \rho_{j_2,t}(b_2)$$

Hence, $(\rho_{i_1,t}(a_1) + \rho_{j_1,t}(b_1), k_1) \sigma (\rho_{i_2,t}(a_2) + \rho_{j_2,t}(b_2), k_2)$, and

$$[(a,i_1)]_{\sigma} + [(b,j_1)]_{\sigma} = [(a_2, i_2)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(b_2, j_2)]_{\sigma}$$

Thus $+$ is well-defined.

Next we show that $(\lim_{\rightarrow} A_i, +)$ is an abelian group.

(1) ($+$ is associative) Consider $[(a,i)]_{\sigma}, [(b,j)]_{\sigma}$, and $[(c,k)]_{\sigma}$ be in $\lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$, and Suppose $l \geq i, j, i \geq j, k$, and $m \geq l, t$. Then we have the following.

$$[(a,i)]_{\sigma} + [(b,j)]_{\sigma} + [(c,k)]_{\sigma} = [\rho_{i,l}(a) + \rho_{j,l}(b)]_{\sigma} + [(c,k)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(\rho_{i,l}(\rho_{j,t}(a) + \rho_{j,t}(b)) + \rho_{i,m}(c), m)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(\rho_{i,m}(\rho_{j,t}(a)) + \rho_{i,m}(\rho_{j,t}(b)) + \rho_{i,m}(c), m)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(\rho_{i,m}(a) + \rho_{i,m}(b) + \rho_{i,m}(c), m)]_{\sigma}$$
$$[(a,i)]_{\sigma} + [(b,j)]_{\sigma} + [(c,k)]_{\sigma} = [(\rho_{i,m}(a) + \rho_{i,m}(\rho_{j,t}(b)) + \rho_{i,m}(\rho_{j,t}(c)), m)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(\rho_{i,m}(a) + \rho_{i,m}(\rho_{j,t}(b) + \rho_{j,t}(c)), m)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(a,i)]_{\sigma} + [(\rho_{j,t}(b) + \rho_{j,t}(c), t)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(a,i)]_{\sigma} + [(b,j)]_{\sigma} + [(c,k)]_{\sigma}$$

So $+$ is associative.

Comment

Step 6 of 16

(2) For all $i, j \in I$, there exists $k \geq i, j$, and $\rho_{i,k}(0) = \rho_{j,k}(0)$ since the $\rho_{i,j}$ are group homomorphism. Hence $[(0,i)]_{\sigma} = [(0,j)]_{\sigma}$ for all i, j . Consider $0 = [(0,i)]_{\sigma}$. Suppose $[(a,i)]_{\sigma} \in \lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$. Then

$$0 + [(a,i)]_{\sigma} = [(0,i)]_{\sigma} + [(a,i)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(\rho_{i,i}(0) + \rho_{i,i}(a), i)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(0 + a, i)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(a,i)]_{\sigma}$$

Thus, $[(a,i)]_{\sigma} + 0 = [(a,i)]_{\sigma}$. Hence $0 = [(0,i)]_{\sigma}$ is an additive identity element.

Comment

Step 7 of 16

3. Consider $[(a,i)]_{\sigma} \in \lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$. Note that

$$[(a,i)]_{\sigma} + [(-a,i)]_{\sigma} = [(\rho_{i,i}(a) + \rho_{i,i}(-a), i)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(a - a, i)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(0,i)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= 0$$

Hence every element of $\lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$ has an additive inverse.

Comment

Step 8 of 16

4. Suppose $[(a,i)]_{\sigma}, [(b,j)]_{\sigma} \in \lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$, and Consider $k \geq i, j$. Then

$$[(a,i)]_{\sigma} + [(b,j)]_{\sigma} = [(\rho_{i,k}(a) + \rho_{j,k}(b), k)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(\rho_{i,k}(b) + \rho_{i,k}(a), k)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(b,j)]_{\sigma} + [(a,i)]_{\sigma}$$

Hence $+$ is commutative.

Thus $(\lim_{\rightarrow} A_i, +)$ is an abelian group. Finally, we show that each $\rho_i : A_i \rightarrow \lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$ is a group homomorphism. Suppose $a, b \in A_i$. Then

$$\rho_i(a + b) = [(a + b, i)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(\rho_{i,i}(a) + \rho_{i,i}(b), i)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(a, i)]_{\sigma} + [(b, i)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= \rho_i(a) + \rho_i(b)$$

Hence ρ_i is a group homomorphism for all i .

Comment

Step 9 of 16

(d) Prove that if all the A_i are commutative rings with $1 \neq 0$ and all the $\rho_{i,j}$ are unital ring homomorphism, then $\lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$ may likewise be given the structure of a commutative ring with $1 \neq 0$ such that the ρ_i are all ring homomorphism.

Define an operator on $\lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$ as follows: $[(a,i)]_{\sigma} \cdot [(b,j)]_{\sigma} = [(\rho_{i,k}(a) \cdot \rho_{j,k}(b), k)]_{\sigma}$, where k is any upper bound of i and j in I . See this.

(1) (\cdot is well defined) Consider $[(a,i_1)]_{\sigma} = [(a_1, i_1)]_{\sigma}$ and $[(b, j_1)]_{\sigma} = [(b_1, j_1)]_{\sigma}$. Then there exist $r \geq i_1, i_2$ and $s \geq j_1, j_2$ such that $\rho_{i_1,r}(a_1) = \rho_{i_2,r}(a_2)$ and $\rho_{j_1,s}(b_1) = \rho_{j_2,s}(b_2)$. Choose $k_1 \geq i, j_1$, $k_2 \geq i_2, j_2$, and $t \geq k_1, k_2$. Now,

$$\rho_{i_1,t}(\rho_{i_1,s}(a_1) \cdot \rho_{j_1,s}(b_1)) = \rho_{i_1,t}(\rho_{i_1,s}(a_1)) \cdot \rho_{i_1,t}(\rho_{j_1,s}(b_1))$$
$$= \rho_{i_1,t}(a_1) \cdot \rho_{i_1,t}(b_1)$$
$$= \rho_{i_2,t}(\rho_{i_2,s}(a_1)) \cdot \rho_{j_2,t}(\rho_{j_2,s}(b_1))$$
$$= \rho_{i_2,t}(a_2) \cdot \rho_{j_2,t}(b_2)$$
$$\rho_{i_1,t}(\rho_{i_1,s}(a_1) \cdot \rho_{j_1,s}(b_1)) = \rho_{i_1,t}(\rho_{i_1,s}(a_1) \cdot \rho_{j_1,s}(b_1))$$
$$= \rho_{i_2,t}(\rho_{i_2,s}(a_1)) \cdot \rho_{j_2,t}(\rho_{j_2,s}(b_1))$$
$$= \rho_{i_2,t}(a_2) \cdot \rho_{j_2,t}(b_2)$$

Thus $(\rho_{i_1,t}(a_1) \cdot \rho_{j_1,t}(b_1), k_1) \sigma (\rho_{i_2,t}(a_2) \cdot \rho_{j_2,t}(b_2), k_2)$, and particularly,

$$[(a,i_1)]_{\sigma} \cdot [(b,j_1)]_{\sigma} = [(a_2, i_2)]_{\sigma} \cdot [(b_2, j_2)]_{\sigma}$$

So \cdot is well-defined.

Comment

Step 10 of 16

(2) (\cdot is associative) Suppose

$$[(a,i)]_{\sigma} \cdot [(b,j)]_{\sigma} \cdot [(c,k)]_{\sigma} \in \lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$$

Consider $r \geq i, j, s \geq j, k$, and $t \geq r, s$. Then we have the following:

$$[(a,i)]_{\sigma} \cdot [(b,j)]_{\sigma} \cdot [(c,k)]_{\sigma} = [\rho_{i,r}(a) \cdot \rho_{j,r}(b), r]_{\sigma} \cdot [(c,k)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [\rho_{i,r}(\rho_{j,s}(a) \cdot \rho_{j,s}(b)) \cdot \rho_{i,t}(c), t]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(\rho_{i,t}(\rho_{j,s}(a)) \cdot \rho_{i,t}(\rho_{j,s}(b)) \cdot \rho_{i,t}(c), t)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(\rho_{i,t}(a) \cdot \rho_{j,t}(b) \cdot \rho_{i,t}(c), t)]_{\sigma}$$
$$[(a,i)]_{\sigma} \cdot [(b,j)]_{\sigma} \cdot [(c,k)]_{\sigma} = [(\rho_{i,t}(a) \cdot \rho_{j,t}(\rho_{j,s}(b)) \cdot \rho_{i,t}(\rho_{j,s}(c)), t)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(\rho_{i,t}(a) \cdot \rho_{j,t}(b) \cdot \rho_{i,t}(c), t)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(a,i)]_{\sigma} \cdot [(\rho_{j,t}(b) \cdot \rho_{j,t}(c), s)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(a,i)]_{\sigma} \cdot [(b,j)]_{\sigma} \cdot [(c,k)]_{\sigma}$$

Hence \cdot is associative.

Comment

Step 11 of 16

(3) (\cdot distributes over $+$) We will show that \cdot distributes over $+$ on the left; distributes on the right is similar. Let $[(a,i)]_{\sigma}, [(b,j)]_{\sigma}, [(c,k)]_{\sigma} \in \lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$. Suppose $r \geq j, k$, and let $t \geq i, r$. Then we have the following:

$$[(a,i)]_{\sigma} \cdot [(b,j)]_{\sigma} + [(c,k)]_{\sigma} = [(a,i)]_{\sigma} \cdot [(\rho_{j,r}(b) + \rho_{j,r}(c), r)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(\rho_{i,t}(a) \cdot \rho_{j,t}(\rho_{j,r}(b) + \rho_{j,r}(c)), t)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(\rho_{i,t}(a) \cdot (\rho_{j,t}(\rho_{j,r}(b)) + \rho_{j,t}(\rho_{j,r}(c))), t)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(\rho_{i,t}(a) \cdot (\rho_{j,t}(b) + \rho_{j,t}(c)), t)]_{\sigma}$$
$$[(a,i)]_{\sigma} \cdot [(b,j)]_{\sigma} + [(c,k)]_{\sigma} = [(\rho_{i,t}(a) \cdot \rho_{j,t}(\rho_{j,r}(b) + \rho_{j,r}(c)) \cdot \rho_{i,t}(c), t)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(\rho_{i,t}(a) \cdot \rho_{j,t}(b) \cdot \rho_{i,t}(c) + \rho_{i,t}(a) \cdot \rho_{j,t}(c) \cdot \rho_{i,t}(c), t)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(\rho_{i,t}(a) \cdot \rho_{j,t}(b) \cdot \rho_{i,t}(c), t)]_{\sigma} + [(\rho_{i,t}(a) \cdot \rho_{j,t}(c) \cdot \rho_{i,t}(c), t)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(a,i)]_{\sigma} \cdot [(b,j)]_{\sigma} + [(a,i)]_{\sigma} \cdot [(c,k)]_{\sigma}$$

Hence \cdot distributes over $+$.

Thus $(\lim_{\rightarrow} A_i, +, \cdot)$ is a ring.

Comment

Step 12 of 16

However, we have the following.

(1) Consider the A_i are all commutative. Suppose $[(a,i)]_{\sigma}, [(b,j)]_{\sigma} \in \lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$, and let $k \geq i, j$. Then we have the following:

$$[(a,i)]_{\sigma} \cdot [(b,j)]_{\sigma} = [(\rho_{i,k}(a) \cdot \rho_{j,k}(b), k)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(\rho_{i,k}(b) \cdot \rho_{i,k}(a), k)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(b,j)]_{\sigma} \cdot [(a,i)]_{\sigma}$$

Hence $\lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$ is a commutative ring. If all the A_i are commutative, then $\lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$ is commutative.

(2) Note that because the $\rho_{i,j}$ are unital ring homomorphism

$$\rho_{i,i}(1) = 1$$
$$= \rho_{i,i}(1)$$

Whenever $k \geq i, j$. Hence $[(1,i)]_{\sigma} = [(1,j)]_{\sigma}$ for all i, j . Define $1 = [(1,i)]_{\sigma}$.

Consider $[(a,i)]_{\sigma} \in \lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$. Then,

$$1 \cdot [(a,i)]_{\sigma} = [(1,i)]_{\sigma} \cdot [(a,i)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= \rho_{i,i}(1) \cdot \rho_{i,i}(a), i]$$
$$= [(1 \cdot a, i)]_{\sigma}$$
$$= [(a,i)]_{\sigma}$$

Thus, $[(a,i)]_{\sigma} \cdot 1 = [(a,i)]_{\sigma}$. Hence 1 is a multiplicative identity in $\lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$. If all the A_i have $1 \neq 0$ and the $\rho_{i,j}$ are unital, then $\lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$ has a multiplicative identity.

3. Suppose $[(0,i)]_{\sigma} = [(1,i)]_{\sigma}$. Then there exists $j \geq i$ such that $\rho_{i,j}(0) = \rho_{i,j}(1)$, so that $0 = 1$ in A_j a contradiction. Hence $1 \neq 0$ in $\lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$.

Thus if the A_i are commutative rings with $1 \neq 0$, then $\lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$ is a commutative ring with $1 \neq 0$. Thus, if $1 \neq 0$ for all A_i , then $1 \neq 0$ in $\lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$.

Comment

Step 13 of 16

Therefore, that if all the A_i are commutative rings with $1 \neq 0$ and all the $\rho_{i,j}$ are unital ring homomorphism, then $\lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$ may likewise be given the structure of a commutative ring with $1 \neq 0$ such that the ρ_i are all ring homomorphism.

Comment

Step 14 of 16

(e) Under the hypotheses of part (c), prove that $\lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$ has the following universal property:

If C is any abelian group such that for each $i \in I$ there is a homomorphism $\varphi_i : A_i \longrightarrow C$ with $\varphi_i = \varphi_j \circ \rho_{i,j}$ whenever $i \leq j$, then there is a unique homomorphism $\varphi : A \longrightarrow C$ such that $\varphi \circ \rho_i = \varphi_i$ for all i .

Consider, C is an abelian group and that we have an indexed family of group homomorphism $\varphi_i : A_i \longrightarrow C$ such that $\varphi_i = \varphi_j \circ \rho_{i,j}$ for all $i, j \in I$.

Define $\varphi : \lim_{\rightarrow} A_i \longrightarrow C$ by $\varphi([(a,i)]_{\sigma}) = \varphi_i(a)$. We need to show that φ is a well defined group homomorphism.

Suppose $[(a,i)]_{\sigma} = [(b,j)]_{\sigma}$.

Then there exists $k \geq i, j$ such that $\rho_{i,k}(a) = \rho_{j,k}(b)$. Since $\rho_{i,j}(s)$ is well defined,

$$\varphi_i(\rho_{i,k}(a)) = \varphi_i(\rho_{i,k}(b)).$$

Then, $(\varphi_i \circ \rho_{i,k})(a) = (\varphi_i \circ \rho_{i,k})(b)$, and we have

$$\varphi_i(a) = \varphi_j(b).$$

Hence, $\varphi([(a,i)]_{\sigma}) = \varphi([(b,j)]_{\sigma})$, and φ is well defined.

Comment

Step 15 of 16

Consider $[(a,i)]_{\sigma}, [(b,j)]_{\sigma} \in \lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$, and let $k \geq i, j$. Then we have the following:

$$\varphi([(a,i)]_{\sigma} + [(b,j)]_{\sigma}) = \varphi([(\rho_{i,k}(a) + \rho_{j,k}(b), k)]_{\sigma})$$
$$= \varphi_i(\rho_{i,k}(a) + \rho_{j,k}(b))$$
$$= \varphi_i(\rho_{i,k}(a)) + \varphi_i(\rho_{j,k}(b))$$
$$= (\varphi_i \circ \rho_{i,k})(a) + (\varphi_i \circ \rho_{j,k})(b)$$
$$\varphi([(a,i)]_{\sigma} + [(b,j)]_{\sigma}) = \varphi_i(a) + \varphi_j(b)$$
$$= \varphi([(a,i)]_{\sigma}) + \varphi([(b,j)]_{\sigma})$$

So φ is a group homomorphism. Finally, for all i and all $a \in A_i$,

$$(\varphi \circ \rho_i)(a) = \varphi(\rho_i(a))$$
$$= \varphi([(a,i)]_{\sigma})$$
$$= \varphi_i(a)$$

Hence, $\varphi \circ \rho_i = \varphi_i$ for all i .

Comment

Step 16 of 16

Suppose that we have a group homomorphism $\psi : \lim_{\rightarrow} A_i \longrightarrow C$ which also satisfies $\psi \circ \rho_i = \varphi_i$ for all $i \in I$. Then for all i and all $a \in A_i$,

$$\psi([(a,i)]_{\sigma}) = \psi(\rho_i(a))$$
$$= (\psi \circ \rho_i)(a)$$
$$= \varphi_i(a)$$
$$= (\varphi \circ \rho_i)(a)$$
$$\psi([(a,i)]_{\sigma}) = \varphi(\rho_i(a))$$
$$= \varphi([(a,i)]_{\sigma})$$

Hence $\psi = \varphi$.

Therefore, $\lim_{\rightarrow} A_i$ has the following universal property: if C is any abelian group such that for each $i \in I$ there is a homomorphism $\varphi_i : A_i \longrightarrow C$ with $\varphi_i = \varphi_j \circ \rho_{i,j}$ whenever $i \leq j$, then there is a unique homomorphism $\varphi : A \longrightarrow C$ such that $\varphi \circ \rho_i = \varphi_i$ for all i .

Comment

Post a question

Answers from our experts for your toughest homework questions

Enter question

Continue to post

Continue to edit and attach image(s).

My Textbook Solutions

Abstract Algebra
3rd Edition

Computer Security
3rd Edition

Classical Mechanics
3rd Edition

View all solutions

Chegg tutors who can help right now

Ileana
University of Virginia

341

Rahul
Chartered Accountant...

378

Aman
JAMIA MILLIA ISLAMIA

215

Find me a tutor

Was this solution helpful? 1 0

Recommended solutions for you in Chapter 7.6

1 of 2

6/5/2019, 1:37 PM

